

# A non self-referential expression of Tsallis' probability distribution function

T. Wada<sup>1</sup> and A.M. Scarfone<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Ibaraki University, Hitachi, Ibaraki, 316-8511, Japan.  
 e-mail: [wada@ee.ibaraki.ac.jp](mailto:wada@ee.ibaraki.ac.jp)

<sup>2</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Fisica della Materia (INFM) and Dipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Torino,  
 Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino, Italy.  
 e-mail: [antonio.scarfone@polito.it](mailto:antonio.scarfone@polito.it)

Received: date / Revised version: date

**Abstract.** The canonical probability distribution function (pdf) obtained by optimizing the Tsallis entropy under the linear mean energy constraint (first formalism) or the escort mean energy constraint (third formalism) suffer self-referentiality. In a recent paper [Phys. Lett. A **335** (2005) 351-362] the authors have shown that the pdfs obtained in the two formalisms are equivalent to the pdf in non self-referential form. Based on this result we derive an alternative expression, which is non self-referential, for the Tsallis distributions in both first and third formalisms.

**PACS.** 05.20.-y Classical statistical mechanics – 05.90.+m Other topics in statistical physics

## 1 Introduction

Since the pioneering work of Tsallis [1], a large number of papers have been published based on the framework of the so-called nonextensive thermostatics [2,3,4]. Tsallis' entropy is a generalization of the Boltzmann-Gibbs (BG) entropy defined by

$$S_q \equiv \sum_i \left( \frac{p_i^q - p_i}{1 - q} \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $p_i$  is the probability of  $i$ -th state of the system and  $0 \leq q \leq 2$  is a real deformed parameter. Here, for the sake of simplicity, the Boltzmann constant is set to unity. In the  $q \rightarrow 1$  limit,  $S_q$  reduces to the standard BG-entropy  $S = -\sum_i p_i \ln(p_i)$ .

The current formulation of Tsallis thermostatics has been established in Ref. [2]. In the first formalism the probability distribution function (pdf) is obtained by maximizing  $S_q$  with the conditions posed by the normalization

$$\sum_i p_i = 1, \quad (2)$$

and by the linear average energy

$$\sum_i p_i E_i = U, \quad (3)$$

as follows

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta p_i} \left( S_q - \bar{\beta} \sum_j p_j E_j - \bar{\gamma} \sum_j p_j \right) = 0, \quad (4)$$

where  $\bar{\gamma}$  and  $\bar{\beta}$  are the Lagrange multipliers associated to the constraints (2) and (3), respectively. The solution of this MaxEnt problem is

$$p_i = \frac{1}{\bar{Z}_q^{(1)}} \cdot \exp_{2-q} \left( \frac{-\bar{\beta}(E_i - U)}{q \sum_i p_i^q} \right), \quad (5)$$

where  $\bar{Z}_q^{(1)}$  is the generalized partition function in the first formalism, and the following relation is satisfied.

$$\bar{Z}_q^{(1)} = \left( \sum_i p_i^q \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}. \quad (6)$$

$\bar{Z}_q^{(1)}$  is also related to the Lagrange multiplier  $\bar{\gamma}$  through the relation

$$1 + \bar{\gamma} = q \ln_q \bar{Z}_q^{(1)} - \bar{\beta} U. \quad (7)$$

In Eqs. (5) and (7) we have introduced the  $q$ -deformed exponential

$$\exp_q(x) = [1 + (1 - q)x]^{\frac{1}{1-q}}, \quad (8)$$

and its inverse function the  $q$ -deformed logarithm

$$\ln_q(x) = \frac{x^{1-q} - 1}{1 - q}. \quad (9)$$

Note that Eq. (5) is the pdf which Di Sisto *et al.* [12] and Bashkurov [13] have independently obtained by modifying the treatment of the original version of Tsallis [1].

On the other hand, in the third formalism the Tsallis pdf is obtained by maximizing  $S_q$  with the conditions posed by the normalization (2) and by the normalized  $q$ -average energy

$$\frac{\sum_i p_i^q E_i}{\sum_i p_i^q} = U_q, \quad (10)$$

as follows

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta p_i} \left( S_q - \beta \frac{\sum_j p_j^q E_j}{\sum_k p_k^q} - \gamma \sum_j p_j \right) = 0, \quad (11)$$

where  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  are the Lagrange multipliers associated to the constraints (2) and (10), respectively.

From Eq. (11) we obtain

$$p_i = \frac{1}{\bar{Z}_q^{(3)}} \cdot \exp_q \left( -\frac{\beta(E_i - U_q)}{\sum_j p_j^q} \right), \quad (12)$$

where  $\bar{Z}_q^{(3)}$  is the generalized partition function in the third formalism, and

$$\bar{Z}_q^{(3)} = \left( \sum_i p_i^q \right)^{\frac{1}{1-q}}. \quad (13)$$

Both expressions (5) and (12) are explicitly self-referential, which cause some difficulties. One of them is concerning with numerical convergence when one calculates the  $p_i$ . In order to overcome this problem, Tsallis *et al.* [2] proposed the two different calculation methods: “the iterative procedure”; and “ $\beta \rightarrow \beta'$  transformation”. Both methods were further studied by Lima and Penna [5].

An even more serious difficulty is that a maximum is not necessarily guaranteed due to the nondiagonal Hessian matrix associated to the above Lagrange procedure. In order to overcome this difficulty Martínez *et al.* [6] have introduced an alternative Lagrange route, which is the so called optimal Lagrange multiplier (OLM) formalism [7, 8, 9, 10].

In our previous work [11], the equivalences of some different expressions of the Tsallis pdfs have been studied. Among them, it was explicitly shown that the non self-referential pdf

$$p_i = \alpha \exp_q (-\bar{\beta} E_i - \bar{\gamma}), \quad (14)$$

with  $\alpha = (2 - q)^{1/(q-1)}$ , maximizes the entropy

$$S_{2-q} = - \sum_i p_i \ln_q(p_i) \equiv \sum_i \left( \frac{p_i^{2-q} - p_i}{q-1} \right), \quad (15)$$

under the constraints (2) and (3). Furthermore it was shown that Eq. (14) is equivalent to the self-referential forms (5) and (12) arising in the first and third formalism, respectively.

On the base of these equivalences, we can obtain a non self-referential expression of the Tsallis pdf not only for the first formalism but also for the third formalism. This is the purpose of the present note.

## 2 The non self-referential expressions

We begin with the first formalism. Let us briefly review the equivalence between the pdf in the form (14) and the distribution (5). From the MaxEnt problem

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta p_i} \left( S_{2-q} - \bar{\beta} \sum_j p_j E_j - \bar{\gamma} \sum_j p_j \right) = 0, \quad (16)$$

we obtain

$$\frac{(2-q)p_i^{1-q} - 1}{q-1} - \bar{\beta} E_i - \bar{\gamma} = 0. \quad (17)$$

Multiplying the both sides by  $p_i$  and summing over  $i$ , taking into account of the constraints (2) and (3), it follows the solution [11]

$$p_i = \frac{1}{\bar{Z}_{2-q}^{(1)}} \cdot \exp_q \left( \frac{-\bar{\beta}(E_i - U)}{(2-q) \sum_i p_i^{2-q}} \right), \quad (18)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\bar{Z}_{2-q}^{(1)}} = \alpha \exp_q (-\bar{\gamma} - \bar{\beta} U), \quad (19)$$

is equivalent to Eq. (7) with  $q$  replaced by  $2-q$ . Note that by replacing  $q$  with  $2-q$  in Eq. (18), we recover the form of Eq. (5).

On the other hand, Eq. (17) can be immediately solved w.r.t.  $p_i$  as

$$p_i = \exp_q \left( -\frac{1 + \bar{\gamma} + \bar{\beta} E_i}{2-q} \right) \quad (20)$$

which is equivalent to the non self-referential expression (14) in the first formalism.

We consider now the expression of the pdf in the third formalism. The derivation of the non self-referential expression equivalent to the pdf (12) is very simple, and the point is to express the quantity  $\sum_j p_j^q$  in terms of  $\gamma$ . From Eq. (11) we have

$$\frac{qp_i^{q-1} - 1}{1-q} - \beta \frac{qp_i^{q-1}}{\sum_j p_j^q} (E_i - U_q) - \gamma = 0. \quad (21)$$

Multiplying the both sides of this equation by  $p_i$  and taking summation over  $i$ , we obtain

$$\sum_i p_i^q = \frac{1 + (1-q)\gamma}{q} = 1 + (1-q) \left( \frac{\gamma+1}{q} \right). \quad (22)$$

From Eq. (13) this relation is also expressed as

$$\bar{Z}_q^{(3)} = \exp_q \left( \frac{\gamma+1}{q} \right). \quad (23)$$

By utilizing Eq. (13), Eq. (12) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} p_i &= \frac{1}{\bar{Z}_q^{(3)}} \exp_q \left( -\frac{\beta}{(\bar{Z}_q^{(3)})^{1-q}} (E_i - U_q) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(\bar{Z}_q^{(3)})^2} \exp_q \left( \ln_q \bar{Z}_q^{(3)} - \beta(E_i - U_q) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Substituting Eq. (23) into this we finally obtain

$$p_i = \left[ \exp_q \left( \frac{\gamma+1}{q} \right) \right]^{-2} \exp_q \left( \frac{\gamma+1}{q} - \beta(E_i - U_q) \right). \quad (25)$$

We remark that  $\gamma$  depends on only  $U_q$  and  $\beta$ . Eq. (25) is thus the non self-referential expression of the pdf in the framework of the third formulation.

### 3 Conclusions

We have obtained the non self-referential expressions of the Tsallis pdf for both first and third formalisms based on the equivalences among the expression given in Eq. (14), which is non self-referential, the ones given in Eqs. (5) and (12), which are self-referential. These non self-referential expressions permit us to overcome some computational problems as well as to simplify the treatment of other related difficulties which arise from employing the self-referenced expressions (5) and (12). Notwithstanding, we remark that although implicitly defined, both pdfs (5) and (12) remain still useful in the analytical computations of many properties.

### Note added

We would like to thank Dr. Suyari for informing his work concerning on this issue. During proceeding this work, T.W. had a chance to know that Dr. Suyari has independently performed the similar work [14]. After almost completing this work, Dr. Suyari and we exchanged the results each other, and noticed that both he and we obtained the same results by different methods.

### Appendix

Let us show here the equivalence between Eq. (25) and Eq. (30) in Ref. [14], which can be written in our notation as follows.

$$p_i = \frac{1}{\bar{Z}_q^{(3)}} \exp_q \left( -\beta_q(E_i - U_q) \right), \quad (A.1)$$

where

$$\beta_q \equiv \frac{\beta}{1 + (1-q) \left( \frac{\gamma+1}{q} \right)}. \quad (A.2)$$

By utilizing the identity

$$\exp_q(x+y) = \exp_q(x) \exp_q \left( \frac{y}{1 + (1-q)x} \right), \quad (A.3)$$

it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp_q \left( \frac{\gamma+1}{q} - \beta(E_i - U_q) \right) \\ &= \exp_q \left( \frac{\gamma+1}{q} \right) \cdot \exp_q(-\beta_q(E_i - U_q)) . \end{aligned} \quad (A.4)$$

Substituting this into Eq. (25) we obtain

$$p_i = \left[ \exp_q \left( \frac{\gamma+1}{q} \right) \right]^{-1} \cdot \exp_q(-\beta_q(E_i - U_q)) , \quad (A.5)$$

which is equivalent to (A.1) as can be see by taking into account of Eq. (23).

### References

1. C. Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. **52** (1988) 479-487.
2. C. Tsallis, R.S. Mendes, A.R. Plastino, Physica A **261** (1998) 534-554.
3. G. Kaniadakis, M. Lissia, A. Rapisarda (editors), *Proceedings of the international school and workshop on non extensive thermodynamics and physical applications (NEXT2001)* Physica A **305** Issues 1-2 (2001).
4. G. Kaniadakis, M. Lissia (editors), *Proceedings of the 2nd Sardinian International Conference on News and Expectations in Thermostatistics (NEXT2003)* Physica A **340** Issues 1-3 (2004).
5. A.R. Lima and T.J.P. Penna, Phys. Lett. A **256** (1999) 221-226.
6. S. Martínez, F. Nicolás, F. Pennini, and A. Plastino, Physica A **286** (2000) 489-502.
7. S. Martínez, F. Pennini, A. Plastino, Physica A **295** (2001) 416-424.
8. S. Abe, S. Martínez, F. Pennini, A. Plastino, Phys. Lett. A **281** (2001) 126-130.
9. S. Abe, S. Martínez, F. Pennini, and A. Plastino, Phys. Lett. A **278** (2001) 249-254.
10. M. Casas, S. Martínez, F. Pennini, and A. Plastino, Physica A **305** (2002) 41-47.
11. T. Wada and A.M. Scarfone, Phys. Lett. A **335** (2005) 351-362.
12. R.P. Di Sisto, S. Martínez, R.B. Orellana, A.R. Plastino, A. Plastino, Physica A **265** (1999) 590-613.
13. A.G. Bashkurov, Physica A **340** (2004) 153-162.
14. H. Suyari, Refined formalism of the maximum entropy principle in Tsallis statistics, arXiv: cond-mat/0502298